



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,379	10/20/2003	Craig D. Yardley	2734.377-02	3363
22852	7590 04/04/2005	EXAMINER		
	I, HENDERSON, FAR	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20001-4413	3721	•	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					212
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/689,37	9	YARDLEY ET AL.	
		Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit	
		Christophe	r R Harmon	3721	
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication	appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing decrease if the period for reply specified above, the following period for reply is specified above, the failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATIOn the provisions of 37 CFF te of this communication set than thirty (30) days, a me maximum statutory perperiod for reply will, by statute months after the memory set three months after the memory set.	N. R 1.136(a). In no eve . reply within the statu riod will apply and wil atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a re tory minimum of thirty I expire SIX (6) MONT cation to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this county ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status					
 1) Responsive to communic 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with 	2b)⊠ 1 n condition for allo	This action is no wance except	on-final. for formal matte		e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowable 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object	is/are withoused. ected. ected to.	drawn from cor			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	is/are: a) and any objection to (s) including the cor	accepted or b)[the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyanded if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certified application from the * See the attached detailed to	None of: the priority docum the priority docum ied copies of the p	ents have been ents have been priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Ap nts have been (e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892	1		4) Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (FTC-992 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ng Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)	//Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-70 and 91-93, drawn to a paper napkin, classified in class 428, subclass 98.
 - II. Claims 71-75, drawn to a paper napkin packet, classified in class 206, subclass 494.
 - III. Claims 76-79, drawn to a paper napkin dispenser, classified in class 221, subclass 33.
 - IV. Claims 80-90, drawn to a method of folding a paper napkin, classified in class 493, subclass 405.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions (I & II) and IV are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make a materially different product such as a napkin with a 2.2 to 1 longitudinal to transverse aspect ratio.

Inventions III and (I, II, and IV) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

Art Unit: 3721

808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the product and method of making the products have different modes of operation.

Page 3

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an absorbent device and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,379

Art Unit: 3721

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Robert Alexander on 3/30/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,379 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700